**PWC CoC 2024 New Project Scoring Rubric**

**General Information**

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION** |
| **Agency’s Legal Name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |  | **DV Bonus:** | Choose an item. |
| **Name of Proposed Project:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |  | **Project Type:**  | Choose an item. |

**Required Documentation/Threshold Review**

**Unscored- to be verified by PWC**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **THRESHOLD REVIEW QUESTIONS:** | **YES** |  | **NO** |
| **Did the applicant submit the New Project Threshold Checklist?** |[ ]   |[ ]
| **Were all items on the checklist confirmed by the applicant?**  |[ ]   |[ ]
| **Did all items meet threshold once verified by PWC?**  |[ ]   |[ ]
| **If NO, which and why not:**  |  |  |  |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Is this application eligible to move onto the scoring process?**  |[ ]   |[ ]

**Reviewer Information**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **REVIEW INFORMATION** |  |  |  |
| **Reviewer’s Name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |  | **Date Review Completed:** | Click or tap to enter a date. |

***Scoring begins on page 2.***

**Applicant Experience & Capacity**

**PSH/RRH/TH-RRH: Worth up to 27 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Experience with project component type = 4 points**If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic populationIf RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households* 0 points if not described or no experience
* 2 points if somewhat described and limited experience
* 4 points if adequately addressed and has extensive experience
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Experience with household composition (if applicable) = 4 points** * 0 points if not described
* 2 points if somewhat described OR there is a letter of support provided
* 3 points if there is a letter of support provided and a clear description of

how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted household compositions* 4 points if evidence of needed experience with subpopulation is clear description of

how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted population | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Experience with subpopulation (if applicable) = 4 points** * 0 points if not described and no letter of support
* 2 points if somewhat described OR there is a letter of support provided
* 3 points if there is a letter of support provided and a clear a clear description of how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted subpopulation(s)
* 4 points if evidence of needed experience with subpopulation is provided and response includes a clear description of how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted population
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Leveraging experience = 2 points**(L**everaging means using non-CoC resources and funding to support the work of the project and to help the project achieve its goals.)*** 0 points if not described or no experience
* 1 point if somewhat described but has limited experience
* 2 points if described with specific examples and extensive experience
 | Choose an item. | 2 |
| **Program management and accounting systems = 4 points*** 0 points if not described or inadequate systems in place
* 1 point if somewhat described and has limited systems in place
* 2 points if systems are described in detail, but has limitations with either program management or accounting systems
* 3 points if systems are described in detail and both program management and accounting systems are adequate
* 4 points if systems are described in detail and are robust and more than adequate to administer the grant
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Compliance with federal funding, including findings and delinquencies = 4 points*** 0 points if not described, or, if yes to any related questions, there is not a valid

justification or positive outcome provided by applicant* 1 point if somewhat described, or, if yes to any related questions, there is not an adequate explanation provided
* 2 points if there is a detailed response, and, if yes to both related questions, there is enough detail provided to explain a valid justification and/or positive outcome
* 3 points if there is a detailed response, and, if yes to one of the related questions, there is enough detail provided to explain a valid justification and/or positive outcome
* 4 points if there is a detailed narrative highlighting strong examples of compliance and there are no findings or delinquencies
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Timeliness of grant expenditures = 2 points*** 0 points if not described or does not meet expectations
* 1 point if experience effectively utilizing federal funds and performing services within given funding and time limitations are somewhat described and/or somewhat meet expectations
* 2 points if experience effectively utilizing federal funds and performing services within given funding and time limitations are described in detail and meet expectations
 | Choose an item. | 2 |
| **Subrecipient capacity (if applicable) = 3 points** **Applicant indicated use of subrecipient?** [ ]  YES [ ]  NO **If NO, this criterion is N/A - please move to next criterion. If YES, please score this criterion.** * 0 points if capacity of any indicated subrecipients to implement project activities is not sufficiently described
* 1 point if capacity of any/all subrecipients to implement project activities is somewhat described
* 3 points if capacity of all subrecipients to implement the project activities is described in detail
 | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| **TOTAL APPLICANT EXPERIENCE & CAPACITY***(Possible = 24 (no subrecipients) or 27 points for PSH/RRH/TH-RRH;*  | **Enter Total Points** | **Choose an item.** |

**Past Performance (current CoC Grantees only)**

**Worth up to 6 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Applicant is current CoC or ESG Grantee?** [ ]  YES [ ]  NO**If NO, this section is N/A - please enter N/A for TOTAL PAST EXPERIENCE SCORE and move to next section. If YES, please score proposal on criteria in this section.** |
| **Good Standing = 2 points (Reference Attachment A)*** 0 points for having one or more CoC renewal projects that scored in the bottom 20% of renewal projects in both FY 2022 & FY 2023 CoC scoring
* 2 points for having zero CoC renewal projects that scored in the bottom 20% of renewal projects in both FY 2022 & FY 2023 CoC scoring
 | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| **Corrective Action/Quality Improvement = 2 points** * 0 points for having one or more CoC renewal or ESG projects placed on corrective action/quality improvement or similar process within the last 1 year and not adequately resolving all issues
* 1 point for having one or more CoC renewal or ESG projects placed on corrective action/quality improvement or similar process within the last 1 year and adequately resolving all issues
* 2 points for having zero CoC renewal or ESG projects placed on corrective action/quality improvement or similar process within the last 1 year
 | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| **Expends grant funds = 2 points*** 0 points for a history of returning more than 0.25% of funds from a CoC, ESG, or ESG-CV project within the last 2 years.
* 1 point for a history of returning between 0% and 0.25% of funds from a CoC, ESG, or ESG-CV project within the last 2 years
* 2 points for expending all CoC, ESG, and ESG-CV grant funds in the last 2 years
 | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| **TOTAL PAST EXPERIENCE***(Possible = 6 points for PSH/RRH/TH-RRH or N/A)* | **Enter Total Points** | **Choose an item.** |

**Scope & Need**

**Worth up to 18 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Clear and compelling description of need = 6 points*** 0 points if need not described or data does not support the need for this project
* 3 points if applicant somewhat describes need and provides supporting data
* 6 points if applicant clearly articulates need and provides supporting data
 | Choose an item. | 6 |
| **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 4 points** * 0 points if not described
* 2 points if somewhat described
* 4 points if partners named and nature of partnership described
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Projected outcomes = 4 points*** 0 points if projected outcomes are not described
* 2 points if the outcomes are described, but are below CoC averages
* 3 points if the outcomes are described, but are at CoC averages
* 4 points if all projected outcomes further goals of CoC
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **Appropriateness of project (the proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description) = 4 points** * 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
* 2 points if the projected intervention somewhat matches the identified needs
* 4 points if project scale, project type, and service/project plan are appropriate based on needs identified
 | Choose an item. | 4 |
| **TOTAL SCOPE AND NEED***(Possible = 18 points)* | **Enter Total Points** | **18** |

**Budget Details**

**Worth up to 12 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Budget Justification = 12 points** * 0 points if inadequate
* 4 points if the justification is somewhat logical and descriptive, but inconsistent with what one would expect for project type
* 8 points if the justification is logical, somewhat descriptive, and consistent with what one would expect for project type
* 12 points if the justification is logical, very descriptive, and consistent with one would expect for project type
 | 12 | 12 |
| **TOTAL BUDGET DETAILS***(Possible = 12 points for all project types)* | **Choose an item.** | **12** |

**Housing First & Low-Barrier Access**

**Worth up to 20 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Experience with housing first/low barrier access = 10 points** * 0 points if not described or no experience
* 5 points if somewhat described or some experience
* 10 points if there is extensive experience of implementing a housing first model,

evidenced by specific examples | Choose an item. | 10 |
| **Commitment to housing first/low barrier access = 10 points** * 0 points if there is no evidence of a commitment to housing first/low barrier access beyond the checklist provided
* 5 points if there is some commitment to housing first/low barrier access beyond thechecklist provided
* 10 points if the organization has a clear understanding of housing first/low barrier access and a commitment to implement it in the future
 | Choose an item. | 10 |
| **TOTAL HOUSING FIRST & LOW-BARRIER ACCESS***(Possible = 20 points)* | **Choose an item.** | **20** |

**Housing Case Management**

**Worth up to 8 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Plan to obtain and remain in housing = 3 points** * 0 points if not described
* 1 point if somewhat described
* 2 points if described in some detail, but plan is inadequate
* 3 points if there is a specific plan described in detail that sufficiently meets the need
 | Choose an item. | 3 |
| **Appropriateness of services = 3 points** * 0 points if not client-centered and/or service funding is not addressed
* 1 point if client-centeredness and/or funding is somewhat addressed
* 2 points if there is clear evidence of appropriate client-centered services or there is a clear funding strategy
* 3 points if there are both items listed above
 | Choose an item. | 3 |
| **Commitment to culturally aware service delivery = 2 points*** 0 points if checked no
* 1 point if checked yes, but narrative has limited detail

2 points if checked yes and narrative has specific examples of how households will be supported in a culturally aware manner. | Choose an item. | 2 |
| **TOTAL HOUSING CASE MANAGEMENT***(Possible = 8 points)* | **Enter Total Points** | **8** |

**Landlord Relationships**

 **PSH/RRH/TH-RRH: Worth up to 3 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Will this project provide scattered-site housing?** [ ]  YES [ ]  NO **If NO or N/A, this section is N/A - please enter N/A for TOTAL LANDLORD RELATIONSHIPS SCORE and move to next section. If YES, please score proposal on criteria in this section.** |
| **Relationship with landlords (if scattered site) = 3 points*** 0 points if organization does not have relationships or viable plan to provide scattered-site housing
* 1 point if landlord relationship and/or engagement activities are only somewhat addressed in the narrative response
* 2 points if organization does not have the needed relationships with landlords (directly or through partnerships with community partner) but has a clear, viable landlord engagement plan in place
* 3 points if organization already has the needed relationships with landlords (directly or through partnerships with community partner) to quickly connect households to housing opportunities and this is clearly articulated in the narrative response
 | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| **TOTAL LANDLORD RELATIONSHIPS***(Possible = 3 points or N/A for PSH/RRH/TH-RRH)* | **Choose an item.** | **Choose an item.** |

**Resource Linkages**

**Worth up to 6 points.**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Linkage to Mainstream Benefits = 2 points** * 0 points for 2 boxes checked
* 1 point for 2-4 boxes checked
* 2 points for all boxes checked
 | Choose an item. | 2 |
| **Plan to increase income = 2 points** * 0 points for no clear plan identified
* 1 point for a plan somewhat articulated
* 2 points for a clear plan with specific action steps
 | Choose an item. | 2 |
| **Linkage to other resources = 2 points** * 0 points for no clear plan identified
* 1 point for a plan somewhat articulated
* 2 points for a clear plan with specific action steps
 | Choose an item. | 2 |
| **TOTAL RESOURCE LINKAGES***(Possible = 6 points or N/A for PSH/RRH/TH-RRH)* | **Enter Total Points** | **6** |

**Bonus**

**Worth up to 10 points**

| **SCORING CRITERIA** | **ACTUAL POINTS** | **POSSIBLE POINTS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Integrate non-CoC and non-ESG housing subsidies = 5 points** * 0 points for N/A or not attempted
* 3 points for commitment and plan, but no supporting documentation
* 5 points for commitment, plan and supporting documentation (i.e., commitment letter, etc.)
 | Choose an item. | BONUS – N/A |
| **Integrate healthcare into service delivery = 5 points** * 0 points for N/A or not attempted
* 3 points for commitment and plan, but no supporting documentation
* 5 points for commitment, plan and supporting documentation (i.e., commitment letter, etc.)
 | Choose an item. | BONUS – N/A |
| **TOTAL BONUS***(Possible = “N/A” regardless if applicable or not so that any points earned can be counted as additional points, above and beyond what is possible)* | **Enter Total Points** | **BONUS – N/A** |

**FINAL SCORES**

|  |
| --- |
| **SCORING SUMMARY** |
| **SCORING SECTIONS** | **Earned Points** | **Possible Points** |
| **Applicant Experience and Capacity** Up to 27 pts. | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **Choose an item.** |
| **Past Performance (existing grantees only)**Up to 6 pts. | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **Choose an item.** |
| **Scope & Need (project description)**Up to 18 pts. | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **18** |
| **Budget Narrative** Up to 12 pts.  | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **12** |
| **Housing First & Low-Barrier Access**Up to 20 pts. | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **20** |
| **Housing Case Management**Up to 8 pts.  | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **8** |
| **Landlord Relationships**Up to 3 pts.  | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **Choose an item.** |
| **Resource Linkages**Up to 6 pts.  | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **6** |
| **Bonus Points**Up to 10 pts. | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **N/A** |
| **TOTAL:** | **Click or tap here to enter text.** | **Click or tap here to enter text.** |
| **ADJUSTED SCORE AS %: (Earned Points/Possible Points)** | **Click or tap here to enter text.** |

**Do you recommend this project?** [ ]  Yes, as is [ ]  Yes, but modified (see below) [ ]  No

**Recommended changes to the project design/scale/etc.** [ ]  N/A

Click or tap here to enter text.