

| Project Information | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Project Name: | Ellicott St Sidewalk Linkage | Federal Project#: | TAP-5B01(499) |
| Project Number: | EN22-272-170, C501, P101, R201 | Project Type: | Construction |
| UPC: | 122042 | Charge Number: | UPC 122042, Act 61401 |
| Project Number(Assoc)(UPC): | | | |
| Route Number: | 1201 | Route Type: | Urban |
| Project LimitFrom: | MILL STREET | То: | UNION STREET |
| IPM Project Description: | ELLICOTT STREET (OCCOQUAN GREENWAY CONNECT) | | |
| Additional Project Description: | Project proposes to construct approximately 655 feet of new sidewalk to provide two missing segments of pedestrian facilities. The west side of Ellicott St between Mill St and Poplar Alley will receive a 178 ft sidewalk, and the west side of Ellicott St between McKenzie Dr and 300 ft south of the Union St/Ellicott St merger will receive 505 ft of sidewalk where it will connect to an existing trail. | | |
| Purpose And Need: | The purpose of the project is to construct two missing sidewalk linkages in the Historic Occoquan District in order to allow for complete pedestrian travel along Ellicott St. | | |
| District: | City/County: | | Residency: |
| Northern Virginia | Occoquan | | Prince William |

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

Description of PCE Category:

Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

PCE c03

UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.* **Notes:**



3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Finding: Review of EQ-429/Project Early Notification Form.

Notes:

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Finding: Review of scope indicates no displacements are required.

Notes:

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Finding: Review of project plans indicates there is no capacity expansion

Notes:

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Finding: *Traffic disruptions will be minor and continuous traffic flow will be maintained. There will be minimal to no detours and emergency vehicle and school bus access will be maintained.*

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Finding: Coordination with DHR resulted in a No Effect Determination.

Date of

Finding: 03/19/2024

Notes: The State Historic Preservation Officer concurred via signed letter with VDOT's no effect determination on historic resources. 10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Finding: *FHWA made a de minimis impact finding.*

Date of

Finding: 03/21/2024

Notes:

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Finding: Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Finding: The project will not require a water quality permit based on the documentation provided.

Date of

Finding: 12/19/2023 Notes:



13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Finding: *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.* **Notes:**

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Finding: *Based on review of FEMA floodplain mapping, the project is not within a floodplain.* **Notes:**

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis. **Finding:** *The project is not defined as Type I based on the Noise determination.*

Notes:

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Finding: The project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat.

Date of

Finding: 12/20/2023

Notes: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) determined that the project may rely on the amended February 5, 2018 Programmatic Biological Opinion (BO) (dated March 23 2023) for federally funded or approved transportation projects that may affect the federally listed Indiana Bat (Myotis sodalis) and Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis) (NLEB).

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Finding: (VDOT ONLY) Hazardous Materials Summary Report.

Notes:

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Finding: This project is included in a STIP Grouping.

Notes: Project located in STIP Grouping: Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional.

- 19. The proposed action will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.
 - **Finding:** The project does not involve displacements, changes in access, major traffic disruptions, more than minor amounts of right of way acquisition, community disruptions, or disruption of emergency services.

Notes:

20. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

Muse, John C.

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

04/12/2024

Date

